

Studying Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis using a systems biology approach

Abstract: Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia among the elderly, affecting approximately 1 in 6 individuals over the age of 65. To help study the progression of AD, we have developed a mixed metabolic-lipidomic-proteomic network to represent the key interactions in pathways that have been linked to AD. We will present simulation results which study how robust feedback plays an important role in the disease process, as well as how varying cholesterol and LRP concentration levels affects the level of beta amyloid in the system, such as is seen in AD.

Introduction:

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is:

- most common form of dementia among the elderly
- affects about 1 in 6 over age 65 (or 5.3 million people in the US)
- a growing socioeconomic issue

Diagnosis is given post-mortem by observation of brain pathology & pre-mortem behavior:

• presence of diffuse beta amyloid plaques and oligomers in brain parenchyma & cerebrovasculature

- hyperphosphorylated tau protein in neuronal axons
- loss of short- and long-term memory
- loss of spatial orientation
- loss of voluntary muscle control

Other pathologies that are present and may be contributing factors to AD are:

- neuroinflammation
- mitochondrial dysfunction & other metabolic abnormalities

• decrease in expression of LRP-1 (low density lipoprotein receptorrelated protein)

Several risk factors have been suggested:

- presence of ApoE4 allele
- cardiovascular & cerebrovascular disease
- plasma hypercholesterolemia
- traumatic brain injury (TBI)
- infections

The **unifying factors** in many of the risk factors is the presence of chronic inflammation & alterations in cholesterol metabolism. This poster will discuss a systems biology model that has been developed to study how alterations in cholesterol and LRP levels affect generation of beta amyloid and APP (the amyloid precursor protein).

Model Goals:

• Develop the **first** systems biology model for Alzheimer's disease to study the role of cholesterol.

• The ideal model will be capable of answering the following questions:

- What are the key nodes and regulatory points in the described network?
- Does inhibition of BACE activity by cholesterol fit with the known data?
- How does varying the expression levels of LRP-1 alter steady state levels of $A\beta$?

Model Assumptions:

• Molecules reside in two possible compartments:

- brain (limited concentration levels)
 - blood (infinite sink)
- Within the brain, there are several cell types or areas that can contain molecules of interest:
 - Neurons: Contains cholesterol, interacts with ApoE at the cell membrane
 - Astrocytes: Produces cholesterol and ApoE to interact with neurons
 - **Parenchyma:** Contains free cholesterol and ApoE

C.R. Kyrtsos and J.S. Baras University of Maryland, College Park Fischell Department of Bioengineering & The Institute for Systems Research





	Figure 2. Refere	nce Simulation.
1500	molecules withi	n the first 6000
1000	simulation. Or	nlv APP continue
500	upward trend	during the enti
0	simulation, incre	easing from an in
0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 Timestep (Days) x 1($\frac{1}{2}$ 1000 to a final v	value of 1183. N
	AcetylCoA leve	ls, which conv
	10,000 to 8845.	
3000 (a)	2500 (b)	2500 (C)
2000	2000	2000
sing no e 1500 e #	* 1000	aj Too E # 1000
500	500	500
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 Timestep (Days)
Figure 3: Effect of Incr	eased Initial APP concentrat	ion or increased Aβ
APP and AB present i	e doubled, leading to a signi	ficant increase in the
levels started to increa	ase. Final APP level: 2672: fir	al AB level: 40 (b)
rates were increased	by 25%, leading APP levels	to nearly double.
levels did not change	significantly. Final APP leve	l: 1821; final Aβ le
generation rates were	increased by 12.5%, leadin	g APP levels to inc
Aβ levels did not chan	ge significantly. Final APP lev	el: 1345; final Aβ lev
2500 (a)	2500 (b)	2500 (C)
2000	2000	2000
s 1500	s 1500 - 90 W # 1000	- * 1000
* 1000	500	500
500	0	
	0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8	
Figure 4: Effect of Decre inal A β : 23. (b) LRP lev	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final Af
Figure 4: Effect of Decre inal A β : 23. (b) LRP lev evels decreased by 75%	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Find APP: 1431; final Af 9.
igure 4: Effect of Decre nal Aβ: 23. (b) LRP lev evels decreased by 75%	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Find APP: 1431; final Af 9. 2500 - (c)
igure 4: Effect of Decre nal A β : 23. (b) LRP lev evels decreased by 75%	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Find APP: 1431; final Af 9.
igure 4: Effect of Decre nal Aβ: 23. (b) LRP lev evels decreased by 75%	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Find APP: 1431; final Af 9.
⁰	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final Af 9. 2500 - (c)
Figure 4: Effect of Decre inal A β : 23. (b) LRP levels decreased by 75%	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A(9. $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_$
Figure 4: Effect of Decre Final Aβ: 23. (b) LRP lev evels decreased by 75%	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{$	reased by 25%. Fir APP: 1431; final A 9. $\int_{0}^{200} \int_{0}^{100} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{0}^{100} $
Figure 4: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75%	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final Aβ: 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}$	reased by 25%. Fir APP: 1431; final A 9. of: (a) Initial neuro holesterol transfer of transfer rate decr
Figure 4: Effect of Decre inal Aβ: 23. (b) LRP levels decreased by 75% $\frac{2^{00}}{6^{00}} \frac{1}{6^{00}} \frac{1}$	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A 9. of (c) of
Figure 4: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 1/2. Final Aβ by 50%. Final APP: 781, Final APP: 14; final Aβ: 0	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A(9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer is of transfer rate decr
Figure 4: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Conclusion: We have developed	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final Af 9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer r of transfer rate decr
Figure 4: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Final APP: 14; final Aβ: 0 Conclusion: We have developed approach to studyi	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A(9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer to of transfer rate decr
igure 4: Effect of Decreation of the second secon	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final Aβ: 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A(9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer of transfer rate decr
Figure 4: Effect of Decreations of the second sec	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0}$	of the second descent for the second descent for the second descent for the second descent for the second descend d
Figure 4: Effect of Decreations of the second by 75% of the second by	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels decreased by 50%. Final ased LRP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A(9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer to of transfer rate decr
Figure 4: Effect of Decreations inal A β : 23. (b) LRP levels decreased by 75% $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0$	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A 9. of: (a) Initial neuro holesterol transfer of transfer rate decr del with a graph lopment of AD to include a n as well as trans
Figure 4: Effect of Decre Final Aβ: 23. (b) LRP levels decreased by 75%	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A 9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer of transfer rate decr of to include a n as well as trans reduce possible
Figure 4: Effect of Decreations inal Aβ: 23. (b) LRP levels decreased by 75% igure 5: Effect of Decreation igure 5: Effect of Decreation decreased by ½. Final A by 50%. Final APP: 781, inal APP: 14; final Aβ: 0 Conclusion: We have developed approach to studyi Future Direction We are currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A 9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer of transfer rate decr of to include a n as well as trans reduce possible
Figure 4: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by ½. Final A by 50%. Final APP: 781, Final APP: 14; final Aβ: 0 Conclusion: We have developed approach to studyi Future Direction We are currently describe a basic i bipartite graph th inherent in our cur	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 reased Neuronal Cholestero PP: 1207; final A β : 18. (b) C ; final A β : 12. (c) Cholestero man processes in the deve S: expanding the model nflammatory pathway, neoretic approach to r prend a processes	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A(9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer r of transfer rate decr of to include a ne as well as trans reduce possible
Figure 4: Effect of Decreased inal Aβ: 23. (b) LRP levels decreased by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreased by 50%. Final APP: 781, Final APP: 14; final Aβ: 0 Conclusion: We have developed approach to studyi Future Direction We are currently describe a basic i bipartite graph th inherent in our cur	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final A(9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer r of transfer rate decr odel with a graph lopment of AD to include a ne as well as trans reduce possible
Future Direction We have developed approach to studyi Future Direction We are currently describe a basic i bipartite graph th inherent in our cur Biorkhem , L and S. Meaney (2004)."	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final Af 9. of (c) of (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)
¹ ¹ ² ¹ ¹ ² ¹	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final Af 9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer r of transfer rate decre of the with a graph lopment of AD to include a ne as well as trans reduce possible
Figure 4: Effect of Decreation of the second se	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final A β : 2	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final Af 9. of: (a) Initial neuror holesterol transfer r of transfer rate decr del with a graph lopment of AD to include a ne as well as trans reduce possible
Figure 4: Effect of Decreation of the second by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreation of the second by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreation of the second by 75% Figure 5: Effect of Decreation of the second by 75% Final APP: 14; final Aβ: 0 Conclusion: We have developed approach to studyi Future Direction We are currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inherent in our currently describe a basic i bipartite graph the inhe	eased LRP: (a) LRP levels dec els decreased by 50%. Final . Final APP: 1828; final Aβ: 2 $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}$	reased by 25%. Fin APP: 1431; final Af 9. of (c) of (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)